Volume 4, Issue 3, March - 2026
ISSN (E): 2938-3781

Web of Agriculture: Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences
webofjournals.com/index.php/8

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS IN COTTON FIBER CLASSIFICATION

Tadjibayev Anvar Avazovich 1
1PhD Student, Namangan State Technical University,
Tel: +998(91)1771210
E-mail: anvartadjibayev@.yandex.ru

Abstract
Cotton fiber classification plays a crucial role in determining textile quality, pricing, and international trade competitiveness. This study provides a comparative analysis between the national standard of Uzbekistan (O'z DSt 604:2016) and international cotton classification systems, particularly the USDA and HVI-based standards. The research evaluates differences in impurity content thresholds, grading systems, and technological assessment methods. A comparative analytical approach was applied to identify structural differences in class intervals and contamination limits. The results reveal that while Uzbekistan's standard primarily emphasizes impurity percentage thresholds, international systems incorporate multi-parameter instrumental evaluation including micronaire, fiber length, strength, color grade, and trash content via High Volume Instrument (HVI) testing. The study highlights the need for harmonization to improve export competitiveness and ensure global market compatibility.

Keywords: Cotton fiber, classification standards, impurity percentage, O'z DSt 604:2016, USDA grading system, HVI analysis, international trade, fiber quality.

Introduction

Cotton fiber quality assessment remains a fundamental component of the global textile value chain. As cotton is traded internationally and processed across technologically advanced spinning systems, standardized classification systems are essential for ensuring transparency, price determination, and technological compatibility. The evolution of cotton grading systems worldwide reflects a transition from subjective visual inspection to objective, instrument-based measurement technologies.

Globally, leading cotton-producing and exporting countries such as the United States, Australia, Brazil, China, and India employ advanced instrumental classification systems based on High Volume Instrument (HVI) technology. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) developed one of the most recognized classification frameworks, integrating fiber length, strength, micronaire, color grade, trash content, and uniformity index into standardized grading codes. Modern research in cotton science increasingly focuses on fiber performance properties, spinning efficiency prediction, contamination detection technologies, and digital traceability systems. International scientific studies emphasize automation, artificial intelligence integration, and real-time fiber quality monitoring to reduce human bias and improve reproducibility.

Volume 4, Issue 3, March - 2026
ISSN (E): 2938-3781

Recent global scientific efforts include:

- Development of automated HVI-based systems for objective fiber characterization
- Machine learning models for predicting yarn quality from fiber parameters
- Spectral and imaging technologies for trash and contamination detection
- Harmonization initiatives led by the International Cotton Advisory Committee (ICAC)
- Digital certification and blockchain-based fiber traceability

These approaches reflect a shift toward performance-based and market-driven quality assessment rather than solely contamination-based grading.

In contrast, Uzbekistan, as one of the historically significant cotton-producing countries, regulates fiber classification through the national standard O'z DSt 604:2016. The Uzbek system primarily categorizes cotton fiber according to impurity percentage thresholds and fiber types. While this approach ensures domestic quality control and grading consistency within national production systems, it differs structurally from international multi-parameter instrumental frameworks.

Unlike USDA or HVI-based systems, which simultaneously assess fiber length, strength, micronaire, color grade, and trash content using automated digital equipment, the Uzbek classification structure is more simplified and predominantly focused on contamination levels. Although laboratory testing methods are applied, the system does not fully integrate comprehensive performance parameters that determine spinning behavior and international market competitiveness.

Scientific research within Uzbekistan has traditionally concentrated on agronomic productivity, fiber yield improvement, and reduction of contamination during harvesting and ginning processes. However, global cotton science has shifted toward fiber-end-use performance modeling and digital quality standardization. This divergence creates challenges in export harmonization, price benchmarking, and compatibility with international textile manufacturers.

Given Uzbekistan's increasing integration into global cotton markets and its transition toward value-added textile production, comparative analysis of national and international standards becomes scientifically and economically significant. Understanding structural, methodological, and technological differences in classification systems is necessary to identify harmonization pathways and modernization strategies.

Therefore, this study aims to provide a systematic comparative evaluation of Uzbekistan's national cotton fiber classification standard and internationally recognized grading systems, highlighting scientific, technological, and trade-related implications.

2. Materials and Methods

This study adopts a structured comparative analytical methodology aimed at systematically evaluating the conceptual, technical, and functional differences between the national cotton fiber classification standard of Uzbekistan (O'z DSt 604:2016) and internationally recognized grading systems, particularly those based on USDA and High Volume Instrument (HVI) frameworks. The research design is grounded in regulatory document analysis and parameter-based comparison modeling. First, all measurable quality indicators defined in each standard were identified and categorized, including impurity percentage thresholds, fiber type classifications, and grading intervals in the Uzbek system, as well as fiber length (Upper Half Mean Length), micronaire,

Web of Agriculture: Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences
webofjournals.com/index.php/8

Volume 4, Issue 3, March - 2026
ISSN (E): 2938-3781

tensile strength (g/tex), color grade (Rd and +b values), uniformity index, and trash content in international systems. These parameters were then organized into a unified analytical matrix to enable cross-system comparability. Because the two systems differ structurally—one being primarily contamination-based and the other multi-parameter and performance-oriented—a normalization approach was applied to map classification intervals onto comparable quality scales. This allowed for functional equivalence assessment between impurity-based grading and instrument-measured trash content and other fiber performance indicators.

In the second stage of the methodology, a multi-dimensional evaluation framework was developed to assess technological relevance, objectivity level, and international trade compatibility. The comparison was conducted across five analytical dimensions: (1) classification basis, (2) measurement methodology, (3) number and type of quality indicators, (4) level of instrumental automation, and (5) export harmonization potential. Each dimension was examined through qualitative content analysis supported by structural scoring to determine convergence and divergence between systems. Special attention was given to the role of automated HVI testing technologies, which reduce subjectivity and improve reproducibility in global cotton markets. Furthermore, the adaptability of the Uzbek standard to international textile manufacturing requirements was evaluated by analyzing its capacity to predict spinning performance and yarn quality outcomes. The methodological framework therefore integrates regulatory analysis, parameter mapping, and trade-oriented functional assessment to provide a comprehensive comparative evaluation of national and international cotton fiber classification systems.

Indicator	National Standard	International Standard
Primary grading basis	Impurity %	Multi-parameter
Instrumental measurement	Limited	Fully automated (HVI)
Objectivity level	Moderate	High
Export readiness	Requires conversion	Directly applicable
Global recognition	Regional	Global

3. Results

The comparative analysis revealed substantial structural and methodological differences between the Uzbek national cotton fiber classification system (O'z DSt 604:2016) and internationally recognized grading systems based on USDA and HVI technologies.

3.1 Structural Differences in Classification Criteria

The Uzbek classification system is primarily impurity-percentage driven, where fiber grades are assigned according to contamination thresholds ranging from 2% to 16%, depending on fiber type. This single-dimension grading approach simplifies quality categorization but does not incorporate fiber performance characteristics directly related to spinning efficiency and yarn strength.

In contrast, international grading systems rely on a multi-parameter model integrating:

1. Fiber length (Upper Half Mean Length)
2. Fiber strength (g/tex)
3. Micronaire (fiber fineness and maturity)
4. Color reflectance (Rd)

Volume 4, Issue 3, March - 2026
ISSN (E): 2938-3781

5. Yellowness (+b)
6. Trash content (instrument-measured)
7. Uniformity index

The analysis shows that international systems evaluate at least 5–7 independent quality variables simultaneously, whereas the Uzbek system primarily focuses on contamination as the dominant grading criterion.

3.2 Measurement Technology and Objectivity

The study identified a major divergence in measurement methodology. International cotton classification utilizes automated High Volume Instrument (HVI) systems, which provide standardized digital measurements with high repeatability and minimal human bias. These systems ensure inter-laboratory consistency and global acceptance in cotton trading platforms.

Conversely, the Uzbek standard relies more heavily on laboratory-based impurity testing and categorical grading structures. Although technically regulated, this approach may introduce variability in interpretation and lacks predictive modeling of fiber end-use performance.

3.3 Trade Compatibility Assessment

From a trade perspective, international grading systems are directly integrated into global pricing mechanisms. Cotton is traded based on HVI quality codes that directly correlate with spinning performance and yarn output efficiency.

The Uzbek system, while effective for domestic classification, requires conversion or reinterpretation when entering international markets. This additional translation layer may create pricing inefficiencies and reduce transparency in export transactions.

3.4 Functional Equivalence Mapping

When mapping impurity percentage to instrument-measured trash content, partial functional overlap was observed. However, impurity level alone does not fully predict fiber strength, fineness, or length uniformity—key determinants of textile processing performance.

Therefore, the systems demonstrate limited structural compatibility and require harmonization mechanisms to achieve equivalence.

4. Discussion

The results indicate that Uzbekistan's cotton fiber classification system reflects a historically production-oriented grading structure, where contamination control was the primary quality determinant. This approach aligns with traditional agricultural quality control frameworks but diverges from contemporary performance-based textile industry requirements.

International cotton science has shifted toward predictive fiber performance modeling. Modern research integrates digital instrumentation, statistical modeling, and machine learning algorithms to forecast yarn quality and spinning efficiency based on fiber parameters. The adoption of HVI systems represents a technological transformation from subjective grading to objective, data-driven classification.

Web of Agriculture: Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences
webofjournals.com/index.php/8

Volume 4, Issue 3, March - 2026
ISSN (E): 2938-3781

The Uzbek standard's reliance on impurity thresholds, while practical for internal control, does not fully address fiber mechanical and physical characteristics that determine global competitiveness. As textile manufacturing becomes increasingly automated and high-speed spinning systems demand precise fiber consistency, grading systems must incorporate multi-dimensional parameters.

From a strategic perspective, harmonizing national standards with international frameworks could yield several benefits:

1. Improved export price benchmarking
2. Reduced transaction uncertainty
3. Increased investor confidence
4. Enhanced integration into global textile supply chains
5. Development of value-added textile manufacturing

However, modernization requires investment in laboratory infrastructure, technical training, and regulatory revision. A gradual transition model—where impurity-based classification is supplemented with instrumental fiber performance testing—may represent the most feasible pathway. Furthermore, Uzbekistan's strategic shift toward finished textile production rather than raw cotton export increases the urgency of aligning classification systems with international performance standards. Overall, the findings suggest that while the national system provides structural quality control, integration with global HVI-based grading technologies would significantly enhance scientific robustness, trade efficiency, and long-term sector competitiveness.

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrates significant methodological differences between Uzbekistan's national cotton classification standard and international grading systems. While O'z DSt 604:2016 provides structured impurity-based classification, international standards incorporate multi-dimensional fiber performance parameters. For sustainable export growth and improved international competitiveness, gradual harmonization with global HVI-based systems is recommended.

References

1. USDA (2022). Cotton Classification and Market News Standards. United States Department of Agriculture.
2. ICAC (2021). Cotton Quality and Classification Systems. International Cotton Advisory Committee.
3. O'z DSt 604:2016. Cotton Fiber Technical Conditions. Agency for Standardization, Uzbekistan.
4. ASTM D5867-12. Standard Test Methods for Measurement of Physical Properties of Cotton Fibers by HVI.
5. Meredith, W.R. (2019). Cotton Fiber Quality Evaluation Methods. Textile Research Journal.
6. ITMF (2020). International Textile Manufacturers Federation – Cotton Quality Report.

Web of Agriculture: Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences
webofjournals.com/index.php/8